INTRODUCED BY:

Bruce Laing

PROPOSED NO.:

83-638

ORDINANCE NO. 6677

AN ORDINANCE adopting interim road adequacy standards for the review of subdivisions, planned unit developments and reclassifications.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Findings. The King County Council finds that:

- A. Land development and its uses should be in the best public interest, safety, and welfare, and
- B. RCW 58.17 requires King County to adopt and administer land development procedures in a uniform manner, and
- C. RCW 58.17 requires King County to regulate land development in order to lessen congestion on the streets and highways, and
- D. RCW 36.70 provides the authority for King County to coordinate the execution of both public and private projects, and
- E. The King County Executive is in the process of updating the $\underline{\text{King County Transportation Plan}}$, and
- F. The purpose of the study is to analyze the existing and forecast traffic flow on County arterial roads in order to pinpoint the areas where problems may occur and to recommend solutions to those problems, and
- G. The King County Council deems the adoption of road adequacy standards to be in the public interest.
- SECTION 2. Interim standards established. The following interim road adequacy standards are established for use when traffic flow from a proposed subdivision, planned unit

development, or reclassification affects roadway sections or intersections which operate at or would operate at a peak hour level-of-service E or worse as defined on page 4 of the <u>Final Arterial Capacity Study</u>. The following approaches shall apply:

A. Subdivision or Planned Unit Development (PUD)

A Subdivision or PUD, in order to be granted preliminary approval, shall have final approval conditioned upon the award of a contract for transportation improvements which would provide a level-of-service D or better.

B. Reclassification

A reclassification, if approved, shall not be effective until award of a contract for transportation improvements which would provide a level-of-service D or better, or a "date certain" to be established by the King County Council, whichever is sooner. In the event that the contract has not been awarded by the "date certain", the application shall be remanded to the Hearing Examiner to reconsider the reclassification request.

SECTION 3. The procedures set forth in Section 2 of this ordinance do not apply if all of the following standards are met:

- A. Required minor intersection or widening improvements (signalization, turn channelization, signing, etc.) are scheduled within twenty-one months, and
- B. The improvement is totally funded by the State, County, developer(s), or some combination thereof, and
- C. The improvement will provide a level-of-service D or better as defined on pages 4 and 5 of the <u>Final Arterial</u> Capacity Study.

- 1	SECTION 4. Implementation. The interim road adequacy
2	standards shall be implemented through the office of the Zoning
3	and Subdivision Examiner.
4	SECTION 5. Duration. The interim road adequacy standards
5	shall be in effect until the King County Council adopts
6	permanent standards or April 1, 1985 whichever is sooner.
7	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 12 th day
8	of <u>Alcember</u> , 1983.
9	PASSED this 14th day of Jelmany, 1984.
10	
11	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
12	
13	Jany Frant
14	gira I Mari
15	ATTEST:
16	
17	Guald (Situanian Clerk of the Council
18	APPROVE this 23 day of February, 1984.
19	
20	
21	King Coupy Executive
22	
- 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	·
33	
	II